SELECTION OF GREEN USING PROMETHEE – II MCDM METHOD

BY HARSHADA GOREY AND MANDEEP KAUR



OBJECTIVE AND METHODOLOGY

Objective of present study is to set a preference for green suppliers using the **PROMETHEE** under the usual criterion preference functions. Seven economical and environmental criteria, four suppliers and five decision makers were the main structures in the green supplier selection problem. . The algorithm of PROMETHEE under usual criterion function was implemented, and the results show that supplier AI is the most preferred alternative.



- I. Seven economical and environmental criteria, four suppliers and five decision makers were the main structures in the green supplier selection problem.
- 2. Data were collected via personal communication with decision makers using five-point Likert scale.
- 3. The algorithm of PROMETHEE under usual criterion function was implemented, and comparative analysis has been done to identify most preferred supplier.

INTRODUCTION

- Multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) method is referred as a method used for scoring or ranking a finite number of alternatives by considering multiple criteria attached to the alternatives.
- PROMETHEE is one of the MCDM methods. The PROMETHEE is the abbreviation of preference ranking organization method for enrichment evaluation.
- I PROMETHEE is a ranking method which is considered as simple in conception and computation compared to many other MCDM methods.

ADVANTAGE OF PROMOTHEE METHOD



It is well adapted to the decision problems where a finite set of alternatives is to be outranked.



PROMETHEE method is based on pairwise comparisons of alternatives with respect to each criterion



It is userfriendly outranking method. It is useful in real-life planning problems.



PROMETHEE I and PROMETHEE II allow partial and complete ranking of alternatives, respectively.



It established inner relationship between the attributes during the decisionmaking process.

CRITERION AND ALTERNATIVES



STEPS TO FINALIZE BEST SUPPLIER

Determine the criteria (j = l,..., k) and the set of possible alternatives in a decision problem.

Five point Likert Scale and Description.

| Scale | Weight of importance |
|-------|----------------------|
| I | Unimportant |
| 2 | Less important |
| 3 | Moderately important |
| 4 | Important |
| 5 | 5 Very important |

 Determine the weight wj of the criteria. It shows the relative importance of each of the criteria and notes that

 $\sum_{j=1}^k W_j = 1$

STEPS TO FINALIZE BEST SUPPLIER – COMPUTE CRITERION WEIGHT

Normalize the decision matrix to range 0–1 by using,

$$R_{ij} = \frac{[X_{ij} - min(X_{ij})]}{[max(X_{ij}) - min(X_{ij})]} \qquad i= 1, 2, ..., n, j= 1, 2, ..., m$$

where Xij is evaluation values provided by decision makers.

Evaluations provided by the decision makers need to be normalized in the range 0–1 and compute the fraction based on each criterion using above equation -

| Criteria | <i>D</i> ₁ | <i>D</i> ₂ | <i>D</i> ₃ | D_4 | D_5 | Average Weight |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| <i>C</i> ₁ | 0.1667 | 0.1905 | 0.1818 | 0.1905 | 0.2000 | 0.1859 |
| <i>C</i> ₂ | 0.2222 | 0.1905 | 0.1818 | 0.1905 | 0.1500 | 0.1870 |
| <i>C</i> ₃ | 0.1111 | 0.1429 | 0.1818 | 0.0952 | 0.1000 | 0.1262 |
| <i>C</i> ₄ | 0.1667 | 0.1429 | 0.1818 | 0.1429 | 0.2000 | 0.1669 |
| <i>C</i> ₅ | 0.1111 | 0.0952 | 0.0909 | 0.0952 | 0.1500 | 0.1085 |
| <i>C</i> ₆ | 0.1111 | 0.0952 | 0.0909 | 0.1429 | 0.1000 | 0.1080 |
| <i>C</i> ₇ | 0.1111 | 0.1429 | 0.0909 | 0.1429 | 0.1000 | 0.1176 |

STEPS TO FINALIZE BEST SUPPLIER – NORMALIZE AND AGGREGATE THE RATE OF SUPPLIER

The ratings of suppliers are averaged to aggregate and normalize them to 0–1 scale. The evaluations of four suppliers corresponding to all the criteria are displayed in

| Criteria | Supplier A I | Supplier A2 | Supplier A3 | Supplier A4 |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <i>C</i> ₁ | 0.8000 | 0.4000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 |
| <i>C</i> ₂ | 0.6000 | 0.4000 | 0.4000 | 0.4500 |
| <i>C</i> ₃ | 0.8500 | 0.7500 | 0.6000 | 0.6500 |
| C_4 | 0.7000 | 0.5000 | 0.5500 | 0.6000 |
| <i>C</i> ₅ | 0.8000 | 0.5000 | 0.6000 | 0.6500 |
| <i>C</i> ₆ | 0.6500 | 0.5000 | 0.5500 | 0.5000 |
| <i>C</i> ₇ | 0.6000 | 0.5000 | 0.7000 | 0.5500 |

STEPS TO FINALIZE BEST SUPPLIER –DETERMINE THE POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE OUTRANKING FLOWS OF EACH SUPPLIER (PROMETHEE I PARTIAL RANKING)

 This step involves the calculation of the differences in criteria values of A1 with respect to other suppliers. The deviations are obtained using

 $d_j(a,b) = g_j(a) - g_j(b)$

| | A1A2 | A1A3 | A1A4 | A2A1 | A2A3 | A2A4 | A3A1 | A3A2 | A3A4 | A4A1 | A4A2 | A4A3 |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| <i>C</i> ₁ | I | I | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | I | 0 |
| <i>C</i> ₂ | I | I | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | I |
| <i>C</i> ₃ | I | I | 1 | 0 | I | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>C</i> ₄ | I | I | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | I | 1 |
| <i>C</i> ₅ | I | I | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | I | 1 |
| <i>C</i> ₆ | I | I | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | I | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>C</i> ₇ | I | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | I | I | 0 | I | 0 |

STEPS TO FINALIZE BEST SUPPLIER –CALCULATE THE PREFERENCE INDE

Calculation of the preference index of each supplier takes into account the criteria weight. It is also a value to show the degree of preference of a supplier over another supplier. The index is calculated using equation -

$$\pi(a,b) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} P(a,b) w_j$$

where $w_j > 0$ are the weights associated with each criterion. The symbol $\pi(a, b)$ shows that the degree of a is preferred to b over all the criteria.

| | Supplier A I | Supplier A2 | Supplier A3 | Supplier A4 |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Supplier A I | | 1.0001 | 0.8825 | 1.0001 |
| Supplier A2 | 0 | | 0.1262 | 0.1262 |
| Supplier A3 | 0.1176 | 0.6869 | | 0.2256 |
| Supplier A4 | 0 | 0.7659 | 0.5886 | |

STEPS TO FINALIZE BEST SUPPLIER –DETERMINE THE POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE OUTRANKING FLOWS OF EACH SUPPLIER (PROMETHEE I PARTIAL RANKING)

Positive outranking flow (leaving flow) shows the degree of the supplier dominated other suppliers. In contrast, negative outranking flow (entering flow) shows the degree of the supplier dominated by other suppliers. Equation given below is used to calculate these two

$$\mathcal{P}^+(a) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{x \in A} \pi(a, x)$$

| Supplier | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| Supplier A I | 0.9609 | 0.0392 |
| Supplier A2 | 0.084133 | 0.817633 |
| Supplier A3 | 0.343367 | 0.532433 |
| Supplier A4 | 0.4515 | 0.450633 |

STEPS TO FINALIZE BEST SUPPLIER – NET FLOW VALUE OF SUPPLIER

Net flow values are calculated to avoid incomparability. Below equation has been used to calculate Net Flow Value -

 $\emptyset(a) = \emptyset^+(a) + \emptyset^-(a)$

where $\phi(a)$ denotes the net outranking flow for each alternative.

| Suppliers | Net Flow $Ø(a)$ |
|--------------|------------------------|
| Supplier A I | 0.9217 |
| Supplier A2 | -0.7335 |
| Supplier A3 | -0.189067 |
| Supplier A4 | 0.000867 |

The best supplier is the one having the highest net flow value, $\phi(a)$. By using PROMETHEE II (complete ranking) method, supplier A I is selected as the best alternative.

CONCLUSION

This study has proposed the preference in selecting the suppliers that deal with green organic products. Different types of preference functions have been used in the implementation. The first net outflows and preference order are obtained using the usual criterion preference function, which is considered as the simplest function. The second net outflows and preference order are obtained using the combination of linear preference function and level preference functions. Both of these functions are chosen based on the nature of criteria. The final preference order of suppliers is summarized in below table-

| Suppliers | PROMETHEE (usual criterion function) | PROMETHEE (linear and level functions) |
|--------------|---|--|
| Supplier A I | I | I |
| Supplier A2 | 4 | 4 |
| Supplier A3 | 3 | 2 |
| Supplier A4 | 2 | 3 |

THANK YOU