Note on the PROMETHEE net flow computation

Bertrand MARESCHAL 28/7/2015

Introduction

This note describes special cases for the computation of the PROMETHEE net flow. In each case we consider a multicriteria decision problem including a set of *n* actions:

$$A = \left\{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n \right\} \tag{1}$$

and *k* criteria that have to be maximized:

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i, \dots, f_k \tag{2}$$

The weights w_i of the criteria are normalized in the following way:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{j} = 1 \tag{3}$$

and the preference functions associated to the criteria are noted as follows:

$$P_{i}(.,.) \tag{4}$$

The unicriterion net flow for criterion f_i is then defined as:

$$\phi_{j}(a) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{b \neq a} \left[P_{j}(a,b) - P_{j}(b,a) \right]$$
(5)

And the multicriteria net flow is the weighted sum of the unicriterion net flows:

$$\phi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \phi_i(a) \tag{6}$$

Type 3 – V-shape preference function

Let us consider that a V-shape preference function is associated to criterion f_j and that the preference threshold p_i is such that:

$$p_{j} \ge \max_{a \in A} f_{j}(a) - \min_{a \in A} f_{j}(a) \tag{7}$$

For instance, for evaluations between 0 and 1, p_j should be larger than or equal to 1. In that case the preference function is linear (for positive deviations) and thus:

$$P_{j}(a,b) - P_{j}(b,a) = \frac{1}{p_{j}} \left[f_{j}(a) - f_{j}(b) \right]$$
(8)

The unicriterion net flow becomes:

$$\phi_{j}(a) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{1}{p_{j}} \Big[f_{j}(a) - f_{j}(b) \Big]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (n-1) \frac{1}{p_{j}} f_{j}(a) - \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{p_{j}} \sum_{b \neq a} f_{j}(b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p_{j}} f_{j}(a) - \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{p_{j}} \Big[n \overline{f_{j}} - f_{j}(a) \Big]$$

$$= \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{p_{j}} \Big[f_{j}(a) - \overline{f_{j}} \Big]$$
(9)

where $\overline{f_i}$ is the arithmetic average of the evaluations.

The unicriterion net flow value is thus a linear function of the evaluation.

The multicriteria net flow also is a linear function of a weighted sum of the evaluations:

$$\phi(a) = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{w_{j}}{p_{j}} f_{j}(a) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{w_{j}}{p_{j}} \overline{f_{j}} \right]$$
 (10)

When all the criteria have the same preference threshold p the multicriteria net flow is a linear function of the weighted sum of the evaluations with weights w_j and PROMETHEE II is equivalent to the weighted sum method:

$$\phi(a) = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{1}{p} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j f_j(a) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \overline{f_j} \right]$$
 (11)

Type 1 – Usual criterion

For a usual criterion, the preference function is such that:

$$P_{i}(a,b) - P_{i}(b,a) = I_{f,(a) > f,(b)} - I_{f,(a) < f,(b)}$$
(12)

where *I* are indicator (binary) variables. Thus:

$$\sum_{b \neq a} \left[P_j(a,b) - P_j(b,a) \right] = \left| \left\{ b \middle| f_j(a) > f_j(b) \right\} \right| - \left| \left\{ b \middle| f_j(a) < f_j(b) \right\} \right| \tag{13}$$

and

$$\phi_{j}(a) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\left| \left\{ b \middle| f_{j}(a) > f_{j}(b) \right\} \right| - \left| \left\{ b \middle| f_{j}(a) < f_{j}(b) \right\} \right| \right]$$
(14)

From the definition of the average rank it comes that:

$$\phi_{j}(a) = \frac{n+1}{n-1} - \frac{2}{n-1} R_{j}(a) \tag{15}$$

where $R_j(a)$ is the average rank of $f_j(a)$ in the set of the evaluations for criterion f_j . When all the criteria are associated to usual preference functions, the multicriteria net flow thus becomes:

$$\phi(a) = \frac{n+1}{n-1} - \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j R_j(a)$$
 (16)

In this case the PROMETHEE II ranking is equivalent to the Borda method.